

APR 30 2026

BEFORE THE FLORIDA COMMISSION ON ETHICS
STATE OF FLORIDA

RECEIVED

In re: Complaint No. 26-146
Respondent: Janette Martinez

RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT NO. 26-146

AND SUPPORTING EXHIBITS

Respondent, Janette Martinez, submits this response to Complaint No. 26-146 and denies that her conduct violated the Florida Code of Ethics.

The complaint does not account for material facts demonstrating disclosure, recusal, good-faith reliance on legal guidance, absence of prohibited conflict, and absence of misuse of office.

I. Respondent Disclosed a Potential Issue, Abstained, and Filed the Required Conflict Form

Before the January 20, 2026, matter referenced in the complaint, Respondent proactively sought guidance from the City Attorney concerning a potential future interest considered out of an abundance of caution involving the location at issue.

Following legal guidance, Respondent abstained from voting and timely filed a Memorandum of Voting Conflict (Form 8B).

Respondent did not vote on the matter. Respondent's abstention eliminated any possibility of influencing the outcome through a vote.

The City Attorney has confirmed that guidance was sought from the Florida Commission on Ethics in connection with the recusal decision.

These actions reflect disclosure, transparency, and good-faith compliance, not misconduct.

II. No Prohibited Conflict or Special Private Gain Exists

Respondent had no prohibited financial conflict and received no special private gain.

Respondent has no ownership interest, partnership, joint venture, or profit-sharing relationship with Lisandra Roman.

Any allegation of a prohibited financial relationship or improper private benefit is unsupported by the record.

III. Respondent Did Not Misuse Office or Improperly Influence Any Decision-Making Process

Respondent did not use office to secure special treatment or improperly influence permitting or staff decisions.

The matter referenced in the complaint involved an existing process expressly contemplated under Section 14-6-28 of the City's Land Development Code, including procedures involving Development Review Committee review, Planning Advisory Board recommendation, and City Commission appeal review.

Respondent did not seek treatment outside those established procedures or attempt to obtain a special privilege unavailable under the Code.

To the extent the complaint references Respondent's attendance at DRC or PAB proceedings, Respondent attended those public meetings solely as an observer to better understand governmental processes, did not participate in deliberations, advocate for any outcome, or improperly influence any decision-making process.

Observational attendance at public meetings, without participation, does not constitute improper influence.

IV. Allegations Concerning Staff Intimidation Are Unsupported

To the extent the complaint relies on allegations involving intimidation or pressure on staff, written clarification from City administration confirms there are no staff complaints, no investigations, and no evidence supporting such allegations.

Those allegations are unsupported.

V. Complaint Fails to Establish Probable Cause

The record shows Respondent:

- sought legal and ethics guidance;

- disclosed a potential issue;
- abstained from voting;
- filed the required conflict form;
- received no special private gain; and
- did not participate in or improperly influence any governmental decision-making process.

These facts do not establish misuse of office, prohibited conflict, or probable cause of an ethics violation.

For these reasons, Respondent respectfully requests a finding of no probable cause, dismissal of Complaint No. 26-146, and such further relief as may be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Janette Martinez

Respondent

1510 Royal St., Lot 65, Kissimmee, FL 34741

407-414-7311

Date: 4/29/26

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit A

Memorandum of Voting Conflict (Form 8B)

Exhibit B

City Attorney Ethics Guidance Correspondence

Exhibit C

Deputy City Manager Clarification Correspondence

Exhibit D

Section 14-6-28 Land Development Code Materials

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME Martinez Janette		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE City of Kissimmee Commission	
MAILING ADDRESS [REDACTED]		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY Kissimmee FL 34741		☒ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 1/20/26		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:	
		MY POSITION IS: ☒ ELECTIVE ☐ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also **MUST ABSTAIN** from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Janette Martinez, hereby disclose that on January 30, 20 26:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

- ☒ insured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ insured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____ ;
- ☐ insured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____ ;
- ☐ insured to the special gain or loss of _____ , by
whom I am retained; or
- ☐ insured to the special gain or loss of _____ , which
is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

1/20/26
Date Filed

Janette Martinez
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

Fwd: Conflict of Interest matter

From: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Date: Thursday, April 23, 2026 at 03:18 PM EDT

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Olga Sanchez <osanchezde@gmail.com>

Date: April 23, 2026 at 2:00:27 PM EDT

To: Jose Forty <jose_forty@yahoo.com>

Subject: Conflict of Interest matter

Hey there,

This issue was one of the points the mayor brought up in the statement for my Termination. The bulleted italicized words are their narrative. The words below are my rebuttal. Hope this helps.

* *January 20, 2026, City Commission Meeting, legal advice given to Commissioner Martinez to recuse herself from voting on agenda item 8A, which based on Florida State Statute (3)(a) No county, municipal, or other local public officer shall vote in an official capacity upon any measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss; which he or she knows would inure to the special private gain or loss of any principal by whom he or she is retained or to the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained, other than an agency as defined in s. 112.312(2); or which he or she knows would inure to the special private gain or loss of a relative or business associate of the public officer. requires the commissioner to state out loud and in plain language not only that they are recusing themselves from the vote, but the nature of the relationship or purpose causing the conflict and how it related to the agenda item. The failure by the attorney has now caused a potential ethics violation for Commissioner Martinez which is unacceptable.*

Janette Martinez provided the reasons for abstaining from the vote were documented in a public record in a memorandum on file with the City Clerk so that it could be incorporated into the minutes of the meeting. On January 20, a few hours prior to the meeting Commissioner Janette Martinez came to my office to inquire if she needed to abstain from voting on the Appeal of the Development Review Committee decision to Renew a Conditional Use Special

Event Permit Approval for Food Trucks at 1985 S. John Young Parkway, because she has a food truck that she intended to place at this location. As is my practice when it comes to questions on the Code of Ethics I phoned the State Commission on Ethics and spoke to the "on-call" attorney Stephanie Novenario. The Commission on Ethics opined that if the approval of the conditional use permit would allow Commissioner Martinez to put her food truck on the site, then this would be benefit to her where she would personally gain and she should abstain from voting and fill out a voting conflict form. I provided the information to the Commissioner so that she could be prepared for the meeting. Specifically the applicable statute states:

112.3143(3)(a) No county, municipal, or other local public officer shall vote in an official capacity upon any measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss; which he or she knows would inure to the special private gain or loss of any principal by whom he or she is retained or to the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained, other than an agency as defined in s. 112.312(2); or which he or she knows would inure to the special private gain or loss of a relative or business associate of the public officer. (3)(a) No county, municipal, or other local public officer shall vote in an official capacity upon any measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss; which he or she knows would inure to the special private gain or loss of any principal by whom he or she is retained or to the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained, other than an agency as defined in s. 112.312(2); or which he or she knows would inure to the special private gain or loss of a relative or business associate of the public officer. Such public officer shall, prior to the vote being taken, publicly state to the assembly the nature of the officer's interest in the matter from which he or she is abstaining from voting and, within 15 days after the vote occurs, disclose the nature of his or her interest as a public record in a memorandum filed with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who shall incorporate the memorandum in the minutes.

It is correct that I did not provide the Commissioner with the specific instruction that she needed to state the reason on form 8B, "Memorandum of Voting Conflict for County, Municipal, and Other Local Public Officers." The form itself provides the following instructions "ELECTED OFFICERS: In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict: PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes." The form completed by Commissioner Martinez did not include a narrative explaining how the measure before the City created a conflict of interest. She completed this form quickly before the City Commission meeting convened and provided it to the City Clerk. Commissioner Martinez did not state the reason for her abstaining verbally during her abstention in this particular meeting, although it is well known that she has a food truck as she speaks of it anytime the topic comes up. In retrospect, for this and all other questions on Florida Code of Ethics compliance, I should've provided the Commissioner asking for guidance

with the phone number or had the Commissioner on the call with me so they can hear the information themselves from the subject matter expert and ask any questions as necessary. Since Code of Ethics violations are imputed to individuals, it is ultimately the individual public official's responsibility to ensure that they are compliant, which Commissioner Martinez did by filling out the form, albeit one section was not fully completed.

Fwd: Follow-Up Regarding Discussion on Mr. Craig Holland's Comments

From: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Date: [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Janette Martinez <janette.martinez@kissimmee.gov>

Date: April 24, 2026 at 3:45:44 PM EDT

To: Desiree Matthews <DESIREE.MATTHEWS@kissimmee.gov>

Cc: Kalanit Oded <KALANIT.ODED@kissimmee.gov>

Subject: Re: Follow-Up Regarding Discussion on Mr. Craig Holland's Comments

Thank you for your response and the clarification.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 24, 2026, at 3:28 PM, Desiree Matthews <DESIREE.MATTHEWS@kissimmee.gov> wrote:

Commissioner Martinez,

Yes, I spoke with Craig Holland today at approximately 11:52 a.m. He indicated that he did not make comments to the complainant alleging that you were intimidating staff. Based on the information currently available, there have been no official staff complaints or workplace investigations, and there are no findings or evidence supporting such an allegation.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Desiree Matthews

Deputy City Manager

Sincerely,
Desiree Matthews
Deputy City Manager

From: Janette Martinez <Janette.Martinez@kissimmee.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2026 3:10 PM
To: Janette Martinez <Janette.Martinez@kissimmee.gov>
Cc: Desiree Matthews <DESIREE.MATTHEWS@kissimmee.gov>; Kalanit Oded <KALANIT.ODED@kissimmee.gov>
Subject: Re: Follow-Up Regarding Discussion on Mr. Craig Holland's Comments

Hello Desiree,

I'd like some clarification on the response. Were you able to speak with Craig Holland and get an actual response from him?

Commissioner, Janette Martinez

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 24, 2026, at 1:59 PM, Janette Martinez
<janette.martinez@kissimmee.gov> wrote:

Thai you for your print response on this matter.

Commissioner Janette Martinez
Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 24, 2026, at 1:57 PM, Desiree Matthews
<DESIREE.MATTHEWS@kissimmee.gov> wrote:

Dear Commissioner Martinez,

Thank you for meeting earlier today and for your follow-up regarding this matter.

As of the date of this response, there are no official staff complaints or workplace investigations identifying you, Commissioner Janette Martinez. Accordingly, there are no findings, complaint outcomes, or evidence supporting the allegation that a specific Commissioner engaged in intimidation of staff or acted in a threatening manner toward staff.

Sincerely,
Desiree Matthews
Deputy City Manager

From: Janette Martinez
<Janette.Martinez@kissimmee.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2026 1:04 PM
To: Desiree Matthews
<DESIREE.MATTHEWS@kissimmee.gov>
Subject: Follow-Up Regarding Discussion on Mr. Craig Holland's Comments

Dear Deputy City Manager,

Thank you for meeting with me this morning regarding comments made by Mr. Craig Holland alleging that I, Commissioner Janette Martinez, was intimidating staff.

As a recap, those present at the meeting were City Attorney, Deputy City Manager, and myself, Commissioner Janette Martinez. During our discussion, I raised concerns regarding the accuracy and basis of this statement, as it is a serious allegation that speaks to my conduct as an elected official.

I am requesting a response as to whether this statement is supported by any factual basis and whether it is considered an accurate representation of events. Specifically, I would appreciate clarification as to whether there has been any finding, complaint, or evidence supporting the allegation that I intimidated staff.

Given the seriousness of such a claim, I would appreciate a written response addressing this matter.

Thank you for your attention, and I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,
Commissioner Janette Martinez

Sent from my iPhone

PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE: All e-mail sent to and received from the City of Kissimmee, Florida, including e-mail addresses and content, are subject to the provisions of the Florida Public Records Law, Florida Statute Chapter 119, and may be subject to disclosure.

14-6-28. - Special events.

Special events, as defined in this Code, are allowed as a temporary use subject to the following conditions:

- A. Shall only be allowed in non-residential zoning districts, multiple family housing developments and in non-profit sites in residential districts.
- B. The temporary use of any one site for special events shall be limited to 30 days per calendar year for multi-tenant sites and 20 days per calendar year for single-tenant sites, unless specifically authorized through conditional use and site plan approval.
- C. No special event shall be permitted on a site unless adequate site improvements including paved vehicular access and parking are present. All special events and host sites shall comply with the minimum parking standards outlined in section 14-7-22.
- D. Setbacks from property lines shall be governed by the zoning district in which such event is taking place.
- E. The use of any public address or sound projection system shall be prohibited between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., shall not project sound onto adjacent streets or residential areas, and shall comply with the noise control provisions of chapter 22, article II of the Code of Ordinances. The use of any public address system or sound projection system may be prohibited after due warning from the city. Based on the severity of the violation of the provisions of chapter 22, article II of the Code of Ordinances, the temporary sales event may be closed immediately by order of city staff.
- F. Signage for special events shall comply with the standards listed in chapter 14-11 and shall be limited to two of the following:
 - 1. One 21 square foot banner sign.
 - 2. One cold air balloon.
 - 3. One eight square foot temporary sign.
- G. The use of any right-of-way for the purposes of conducting a special event is prohibited, unless approved by the development review committee (DRC) and subject to the following provisions:
 - 1. The special event shall be limited only to rights-of-way located within the Downtown Form-Based Code Area; or minor collector or local rights-of-way, in accordance with section 14-7-1 (Streets).
 - 2. Barricades and detour signs in accordance with the current edition of MUTCD (Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices) standards to ensure proper closure of the street and maintenance of traffic shall be coordinated with the city public works and engineering

department and shall be provided at all locations where streets are to be closed. Barricade/sign details and locations shall be provided at the time of application and are subject to approval by the city engineering traffic division.

3. Events held on closed streets shall be limited to no more than 12 hours per closure, unless additional time is approved by the city.
4. The applicant shall be responsible for sending notice via certified return receipt mail of the event to all property owners adjacent to the affected portion of street. Written consent from affected property owners that they acknowledge and understand the entirety of the application and that the adjacent street will be closed shall be provided prior to permit issuance.
5. Required vehicular and pedestrian access necessary for surrounding properties and businesses shall be provided and shall be indicated on the approved event layout.
6. A lane for emergency vehicle access shall be provided and maintained at all times. No event-related items may be placed within this area designated on the approved site layout.
7. A designated parking area located outside of the event area shall be provided to accommodate the number of citizens expected to attend the event. Other than vehicles utilized for the event, parking within the barricaded area shall be prohibited. The use of private commercial parking lots shall be prohibited unless written authorizations from the owners of such lots are submitted for city review and approval.
8. Trash cans shall be placed throughout the event area. Immediately after the event, the applicant shall clean the site, adjacent site(s) and rights-of-way of any debris from the event.
9. Outdoor vendors shall not be permitted, except as part of an approved special event. Event organizers shall be responsible for any outside food vendors. For special events with food vendors, the event organizer shall:
 - a. Prior to event approval by the DRC, provide a list of all food vendors and verification of their FDACS food permit to the city. This list must include all vendors anticipated to participate in the event, as well as a list of alternates that may be substituted in the event an individual vendor is unable to participate. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in vendors being barred from participation in the scheduled event;
 - b. Submit a State of Florida Department of Health food service event notification form to the department of health. This form may be obtained from city staff during DRC review;
 - c.

Require each vendor to provide their own garbage bags and to keep the area around the vendor's booth clean;

- d. Designate, in conjunction with city staff, a specific area(s) for food vendors to be placed, as well as specific area(s) for vendor parking.
10. Consumption or possession of alcohol shall be permitted only within cordoned-off areas if sold by vendors currently licensed to sell alcohol in the cordoned-off area, or contiguous thereto. The cordoned-off area shall be patrolled by a city police officer or officers, who shall be paid as a special detail to the city by the promoter of the special event. The number of police officers required at any special event shall be determined by the police department based on its evaluation of the security needs of the promoter and the safety of the public.
 11. Particular attention shall be given to traffic flow and control, auto and pedestrian safety, and the effect which such use and activity will have on surrounding uses, particularly where the adjoining use is residential.
- H. A special event permit application shall be obtained from the development services - planning division in accordance with the DRC schedule and at least 30 days prior but no more than 180 days prior to the desired date of the event. All permit applications shall be submitted to the department in accordance with and accompanied by the following:
1. An application fee in accordance with the applicable development review fee schedule; non-profit organizations or charitable institutions shall be exempt from payment of such fee, provided that documentation of tax-exempt status is provided.
 2. A completed special event application including a schedule of events, specific portions of requested right(s)-of-way to be closed, and all items associated with the requested event.
 3. A site sketch showing location of the proposed event, tents, temporary structures, location of barricades, life safety plan, emergency vehicle access, and signage proposed and the location of all existing site improvements, including parking lot striping, sidewalks, fire department connections, fire hydrants, and intersecting streets.
 4. Written authorization from the owner of the host site, allowing the special event and dates requested to occur and letters of authorization from all affected property owners adjacent to portions of streets to be closed.
 5. If street closures are proposed, proof of liability insurance in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 shall be provided to cover the event. This liability insurance shall cover the entire portion of the right-of-way, including sidewalks, to be used in conjunction with the event.
 6. A cash deposit or bond to cover any clean up or repairs to the right(s)-of-way caused by the event shall be provided. The amount of deposit or bond will be determined by the city after evaluating the application and determining the size [of] the event and the right(s)-of-

way impacted and must be submitted prior to permit issuance. Should necessary repairs or cleaning costs exceed this amount, no further event permits will be issued until the balance has been paid to the city.

7. Any other documentation necessary to determine the appropriateness of the application as requested by the department.
8. Permit issuance shall constitute approval to conduct the event and covers any temporary signage, balloon or tents requested as part of the application, subject to the standards contained herein, the information provided on the application and site sketch, any conditions set by the DRC, as well as any applicable codes or ordinances. The DRC's decision may be appealed to the city commission following recommendation of the planning advisory board.
- I. No more than two permits for streets closures within a one-mile radius shall be granted at any given time. No street closures associated with a private special event shall occur on Broadway. The city reserves the right to deny street closure requests based on safety, welfare and other events.
- J. A copy of all applicable permits, the approval letter, and the approved site sketch shall be posted on site in a prominent location during the event and shall be made available for presentation upon request. Failure to obtain proper permits and failure to comply with all applicable code requirements of the Code of Ordinances and the conditions of the permit shall invalidate the approval and may result in a daily fine and the forfeiture of future event dates.
- K. The city reserves the right to limit, alter, or deny special events at any time. The city may deny a special event permit application if it is determined that the activity will adversely impact neighboring businesses or residents, impose a safety hazard, create a noise disturbance that is not appropriate for the location, place the city in a position of liability, or overextends the city's ability to provide services. The city may limit the duration of the event, including the hours of the event and the number of days it may be conducted, to minimize adverse impact caused by the activity that the city cannot manage. If the city fails to notify the applicant of a decision within 25 days of filing an application it shall be deemed denied.

(Code 1995, § 14-2-67; Ord. No. 3034, § 1(14-6-28), 8-4-2020)